

United States Patent and Trademark Office

United States Patent and Trademark Office United States Patent and Trademark Office Address OM MISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1449 Alexaddria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ulpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/769,805	02/03/2004	Keishi Tamura	1309.43490X00	9553	
24956	7590 09/22/2006		EXAMINER		
	LY, STANGER, MALU	PATEL, HETUL B			
SUITE 370	NAL ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDR	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2186	
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/22/2007		

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	•	10/769,805	TAMURA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Hetul Patel	2186		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiruly will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	ugust 2006.			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 5 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicati	ion Papers				
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical priorical copies of the priorical copies of the certified copies of the priorical copies of the priorical copies of the priorical copies of the certified copies of the priorical copies of the pri	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	∧ □	(DTO 440)		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communication filed on August 21, 2006. This amendment has been entered and carefully considered. Claims 1-7 are amended and claims 8-9 are cancelled. Therefore, claims 1-7 are pending in this application.

Priority

- 2. Examiner noted the acknowledgment of a certified copy of the Japanese Application 2003-393647 filed in Japan on November 25, 2003. However, it is disregarded because Applicant submitted it by an error as indicated by Applicant in the last paragraph of page 9 in the response filed on August 21, 2006.
- 3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on September 29, 2003. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Japanese Application 2003-337239 as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Specification

4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The clear support and antecedent basis is not found for the term "a virtualization function" in the specification of the current application in such a

Application/Control Number: 10/769,805 Page 3

Art Unit: 2186

way so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 1-4 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claims 1-4 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph because a person of skill in the art would not be able to ascertain the metes and bound of the claimed invention, specifically, for the term "a virtualization function" used in claims 1-4 and 6-7.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 5 is allowed.
- 8. Claims 1-4 and 6-7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP Art Unit: 2186

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hetul Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-4184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on 571-272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HBP HBP

PRIMARY FXAMINED